

12-13-03 NUCS

Keynote AV Conf
on Nucs

Charlie Weiner
cweiner@mit.edu
508-362-3260

(?)

on Japanese
"fusion" interests
(misinterpreted by Deb
Shapley).

Paul Boyer: is

Hal Kinsley, the Late Great Planet Earth -

Jim Kallay -

Darby: Pre-millennial Dispensationalism

12-13-03

Krynick: Is there any reason to think that GWB
would show greater circumspection in his use
of the bomb than hst? As he knows...

PR quote: moral crisis
The greatest moral crime of our time is

(Conson)

INTELLECTUAL
[SCANDAL: that the scholarship (PP!) on the decision
behind the Bomb is almost unknown to the
public (and the conclusions are disseminated,
ignorantly, by columnists + politicians).

Shinn: Tradition of public lying — Parents estranged
when the children lie, when politicians lie, they cheat
them.
[when they

Strasser Feb '47 Harpers — McGB

Southwestern Inst. case in 1995 — a scandal.

"Lying is approved when it upholds a tradition
of 'feel-good' history."

Japanese troops arriving in Iraq (for first time)
will have no historical knowledge of how their
grandfathers behaved in China.

Can US soldiers are: Indians (and Columbus), slavery, Mexican
War, Philippines

UCSBS

May '45: Emperor, Prime Minister, Foreign Minister & Navy
had concluded as early as May, 1945, that Japan
would accept surrender [...?]

Dawson: Before 9-11

(USAF) CA had intercepted a cable from Al Qalida, saying
"OBL intends a Hiroshima for America" [NOT - as US commutes
said, "Pearl Harbor"]
deliberate targeting of civilians is a legitimate act of
war - destroying enemy morale (+ destruction; C²; and
"terror"/ coercion.

140,000 in Hiroshima → 200k

70,000 in Nagasaki

Despite bombing of "64" (67?) cities earlier (+ Germany) - NATO
was the event when American public accepted substitution
of cities as legitimate. WMDs are good - unless used against us.
We have re-chained "Ground Zero" for 9-11 -
not Hiroshima!

Can you watch Dawson's drawings, imagine
two frames: 1) "This was necessary" (tragic, but
alternative was nuclear; L.E.; an effective deterrent - "ref
2) frame: cremation pits days later, of classmates'
bodies.

Civilians into which

was dwell on tragic - but - necessary cost? of necessary
"surgery"?

OR: This was not "necessary" - it was like
suffering in Iraq, "in absence of WMD's" -
would not be skeptical of, resistant to, "frivolous/
callous/rickless/ignorant decision-making..."

Rever: drawing on TV of a bomb with fuses
burning like candles [and people walking
with heads and ant in
front of them, like the
undead...]

Has the Bomb dropped - so there is nothing left
to do but enjoy life while it lasts?

OR ARE WE IN MARCH '45:

(Not a Third Summit)

EITHER/BOTH US AND JAPANESE

"DOVES" [WHO AGREED ON ACCEPTABLE TERMS]

(Not, LBJ ~ 66-68) MIGHT HAVE ACTED MORE COURAGEOUSLY
AND FORTHRIGHTLY

Long, please, negotiation

last words (dying words)

Can we do no more than Japanese could do
- from time Enola Gay took off (how long does it take?); [FROM TOKYO BOMBING? -
YES - THEY COULD HAVE
AVOIDED SUBSEQUENT
BOMBING (see MEN TO DAVI)]

[A look at human impact of Bomb: what response
do we hope for? "This must never happen again"?

- no. 2d use?

FU? (Japan implicated, like Germany,
there is, or is not, acceptable Germany]

[Should other countries not acquire nukes,
no matter what? Wf will they?

Can this be reversed in prob? To what effect?

Possible/probable future:

US (or NK?) testing

→ China, India, Pak → ~~SD~~ Russia

→ Israel, Taiwan, NK, SK (France?)

→ Japan, Germany, (Spain?) Brazil, Argentina...

[AQ war (from Russia, Pak, NK... → US focusing, super-militarism ^{SDI, more use...})

Kipton: Serinus: "All of a sudden, they felt nothing -

psychic numbing: in the service of staying sane,
alive"

Since then, psychic numbing on part of perpetrators -

[My hypothesis: "Normal" human limits...]

X

For, "if we have avoided
we war)

(Rusk's conclusion of "success" in avoiding
we war is less insane than it sounds —
it was a difficult, unlikely outcome that
~~took~~ some concern + even courage to succeed.

[GET: actually we war during WWII;
Satō's Post

(Recognize that "first War" doctrine had been
discarded before ^{just before} N+V:

just as the proposal was to accept
an we war plans vs. cities

X ||||| (which, I accepted (unentirely)
(which tries to require option to avoid cities & C3
[LOCK UP WEAPONS.]

This conf — with its quotes on long criticism
of Bomb use — implies, does it not? (what else is the point)
"WE" HAD A CHOICE, A CHANCE TO MOVE DIFFERENTLY
(Even after "Bomb ended the war"*)

(Dulles proposed no further use of Bomb
before Nagasaki...
see letters to Time in Aug. '45...)

[What were moral + policy implications of
US acceptance of UK bombing strategy [or,
UK " of reprisals bombing] —
— didn't it raise all ethical problems?
" " have all physical efforts (except road)?
— make later events close to inevitable?

[STILL: EVEN IN JUNE-JULY 1945: POTSDAM: A CHANCE!
SEE RABINOWITZ/SNOW/SZILARD: (PP)

Vs. Abolition:
* "We ruled it over — why not again?"

It was right, legit

Still: Wetters: can we.

an issue:

1) — Will a EU lead to changed course?

2) — Can we affect "TDA" — "The Day After"?

— Propose our "Final Days" — Last Stand

(I believe we are in the "last days
of freedom, democracy, our influence

3) Effect of events 9-11? (initiated by Bush, or not;
on freedom promoted, among (- Iraq)
on new policies of US
on prolif

4) To do? — Global collaboration
Pressure of other countries on US
— PP's on news

(and: Tape
water
pools

WMD's: → EU vs. CW/BW & Iraq
(or NK)

(Meaning of Hiroshima ≈ meaning of My Lai

Corroll: Bush Admin is

a regime determined to promote prolif. would
behave exactly as US has behaved over last
2 (3) years.

Before Bush, world was approaching consensus
on how to define danger of prolif & arms.

(MP-II: Will...

but time: Bush I...

Bush II: opposite of MP-II ($\approx$ MP-I!)
(37 years after Helphat's Report!)

[imagine photos of loach with of Hiroshima

Lessons of PP $\approx$ lessons of Hiroshima,

How America

Memory is a political act — forgetting is
prop. to tyranny

(but see: false memory...

Corroll: atomic amnesia — forgetting that has
been fought on US (thinks ignoring consequences: AS BY

amnesia: Pentagon has been again and again the OK IN
site of advocacy of FV C-II

SEC N:

not of Pentagon]

(see Dulles; Rusk;

but (not Pentagon)

need for
moral memory — more in
repentance, not celebration —

road to hell — forgetfulness

Issue between us & other Americans:

Was Hiroshima $\approx$ My Lai, or not?
Was it "justified" or not?

(e.g. was it like 9-11, for AQ?!)
Proportional & effective)

(What is the relevance of the experience of
the hibakusha?)

Note that it was related to that
of other victims of peristorms!

(see Vonnegut...)

Is it different from a patient describing
pain of surgery without anesthesia

(see West & Combs)

or, "collateral damage" is inevitable pain associated
with all our war, just or not?

(Tsukuba has no recollection of the 40 days
that followed Aug. 6 — like me, after Accident.

Did not know war had ended.

(Chap 4, 46?)

The testing of individuals victims, no matter
how many, cannot convey the special,
historical meaning of H&N — as opening
a new era (period of fire-bombing).

12-13-03 AU

For: "Dark moments are not the end of history."
I believe that our weapons will be used
by the Bush Admin (!) but that ... (I am still deeply

true?
Ken: ? [Byrnes told his L. that he knew (?) the
Bomb was not necessary to win the war,
(but to make the bones more impressive).

Aug 2 dir of Byrnes - after Potsdam, on August
now info on Japan

JFB (says another message for Japan - saying for peace
likely agreed

Pres afraid they will sue for peace ~~through~~
through Russia, rather than some other country, like Sweden

Potsdam: statement should

if show not to violate Stohr - Potsdam
Declaration [^{though} included in draft, in brackets: "Henceforth Stohr"]
US intell behind "highly likely" ("very likely")
that warning of SU entry ~~the~~ might lead to murder

"There is no evidence that American military
leaders urged the use of the Bomb

(was that they approved it, at the time)

But, it is almost impossible to find any mil. man
after the attack who did anything but attack it, criticize it.
except Marshall, just before he died, is something he did not publish:
but before decision: ^{Marshall} if used, only against
a military target, not against a city and after
prior warning to evacuate.

Hopes that use of A-bomb would make SU
manageable in East Europe

→ hopes for opening of RT, or DRV

Tanner, Byrnes & Acheson believed they were doing a
good thing (not just protecting from SU) — they sought
"Permanent, durable peace" — a new world
order —

of establishing a free-trading global exp. system
this was the best way to make the entire world
a democracy, safe for democracy (Wilson)

(neo-liberals; globalization (at least achievable after CW))

We Americans are complicit for 50 years
in relying on nuclear weapons

and the premises of the world order
they sought

(The movements — i.e. conservative movement, not
marginal in the '50's — have shown that change
is possible (not foreseen in '50's) & people
able to take the long view

[? 60's? conservatives, yes

was ^{very} ~~half~~ full - showing a thin film of moisture
on the bottom of the glass

Wither: Without anti-mc mount, no and
control agreements: ^{ATB,} CTB, ABM, INF, (zero option)
(N-bomb (but Persing, China; BI out, then in)

[McG B is in VN, "no commitment" (? wrong)
of nuclear weapons - no American Pres. could
put any with use in VN

(vs. China? Russian bomb? 1968? 1982?

(McG thinks his attitude to use
(and see McN on McG re pref '65) was
introduced in N. Admin)

of anti-mc mount
[LW's recital of "impact" is valid and valid
basis for encouragement of action;

BUT STRONGER ACTION THAN EVER BEFORE IS ESSENTIAL

More (of MP-II) remains to be done

LW: "We've done it before and we can do it again and
I'm sure we will

[I don't want any credit for past "success"

SIOP (:), PAL, Katpatre (:); CTB, Garbner FU proposal
China

I want to achieve changes - not I don't want
any credit for it, whether formal or not).

LW:

[Bush is using "fear of ruin" to justify
US aggression he desires
just as he uses "opposition to evil"
and "fear of "evil"

On ExCom tapes, 2 people know they were talking -
JFK and RFK ~~both~~ (and they

"In '62 C-II we didn't know of efforts
to assass. Castro - [of Monagosa, or Northwoods]
[of invasion plans]
till Cuban Com 1976" (Baldskov)

480C
ORTSAC

[Knew this JFK/RFK were ignoring
intell on SU murders - because of ^{their} trust off
- Baldskov

like the Roosevelt/Bush were ignoring centers
of state and were relying on Chalabai

I don't agree; McCon (and Keating)
disagreed with ~~that~~ his intell analysts
[BUT: did they know enough, or
anything? - about Monagosa, invasion, assass
or Turkish IRBMs, as seen by K?]

Brenner: [We got out of crisis of being
quite compromising - "we basically gave
them what they wanted" - NO

Oct 27, "before Saturday"

[TO SP: We have never had "ground-up" in
change" (see Bush: But see JFK-Brenner
on Oct 27, after U-2: Invasion warning -
my son to risk GW JFK: "No on tonight" (Be on about

Care tape in SH's Comhart:

(see my reaction to Nitzge)

Brenner "afternoon of Oct 27th, a low-level recon plane
is hit (✓ number) — if pilot had gone down,
we wouldn't be here. (JFK had prevailed)

"I agree with fy — in the end, this was
all about compromise." [NO] [SH joins JFK
too much credit — on
Oct 27

(on Oct 28, he would have
gone further in conversion:
if not

(How does Brenner explain why "B SU" built
up after C-II, thinking they had not been
strong enough — had the "war," of US compromise,
as not? Had the protected Castro, or sold him
out (as Castro thought!)

Brenner + SH think very much Brenner was
left to JFK for LBJ — he strong enough and
SU will fold [SOME TRUTH TO THIS — give luck]
where 'time' Brenner was, exactly + compromise...

325,000,000

3000
100,000
3,000

300 0/00 000

100,000 9-11's

510P in SU+C

600,000,000 = 200,000 9-11's

Nickino

✓ Adrienne Nicosia Northern Virginians for Peace + Justice
rnicosia@starpower.net.

Question: How do you answer the knee-jerk
reaction of media and public to
pictures of victims:

"Better than than us. 'If deserved
it (the school for it - 'th did it first"

[scapegoats - obliteration of destruction
between "w/it" & "Cris," "innocents" (none)

"Important thing is to make sure it
doesn't happen to us - but the first -
preempt (+ prevent! [Perhaps Adri could

58 + 7 = 65

+ 10 88

14 72

have sold down on

L-R threat!]

"Never 'en?" (no longer worried about instant
retal by SU). "Why don't come about foreign children -
or about any but the own children. [of them: see VN]
[My life on] answer: Bush policies raise risk to you: terror + frag.]

Argument: Many was key to "decision" on 9/11;
justify MP-I; now, for border-buster

Many, budgets, power, for war bureaucracy

[Covered versions: all sufficient;
like Wolfe: ^{background is} not much resistance to
preparing for war, or carrying it out.]

(Hard-wiring: no cover for L-R;
cover for removing a group (abduction), little
cover for other, esp. outside trouble.

Has bomb dropped?

are we as powerless as Japan was

or as US was (unforced) in spring of '45?

or do we have the choice of making
in US or Japan in spring of '45

(5 months from
March 9-10)

How to use the time available?

better than anyone has ever done?

I had a piece of paper in my hand...

FS plan if (see or assumption: FS)

2 plans - 3000

2 plans - 300,000

Mission: air-lift

100,000 9-11's

van Hippel:

every minute we can postpone...

air at war?

Herbert Big: Nuclear weapons [like B-29's]
are [pre-dominant] tools for
killing the innocent [non-combatants]

(not, really,
D-2 weapons vs (missiles or ALERT PLANE: either of which existed)
i.e. tools of terrorism

^{UK}
≈ blockade of Germany
in WWI
blockade of Japan

of war of terrorism
[the USAF ^{or} Dambert
own to the standards
of WWI — or, the
instruments of an
island power
was strong back

[but see Japan!
no formal basis
vs. US or UK;

no strong land opponents:

at sea, China,
where terrorism could
be used on ground...

[we didn't use general terrorism
vs. Germany; WHY NOT?]

but we did plan nerve
gas vs. Japan in invasion

at Remembrance:
Justice Jackson: "aggression as the supreme crime
against international law"

But emphasis can be on crime against
humanity + war vs. aggression [US was not interested in
CRIMINALIZING

In Japan, MacArthur was intent on protecting Emperor
of liability Tokyo Trials ignored

Big: Widespread practices of mutilating dead and even
wounded Japanese in

Bix: Cold War was fought as a (religious)
war between the "God-fearing" and the godless.
good & evil

now, Islam: an
evil, demonic,
idolatrous "religion"

"US war crimes (S.B.) far exceeded those of
Axis powers" (Hitch, yes: but then, generally, e.g. in SO
[Japan in China?] (war))

Demographic changes led to dominance
of Southern states of the Confederacy and Republican
Party — so Repub in Congress & WH put
southern white conservatives (in power on basis
of racism)

Missionary nationalism

an interventionist right-wing ideology

aid,

an elusive goal of total security

Bix: Neither morality nor religion can
protect us from the trend to nuclear war:
only politics can.